



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,843	02/22/2002	Jan Blair Wensink	JWENS-01-A	9668
7590	03/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Edgar W. Averill, Jr. Averill & Varn 8244 Painter Ave. Whittier, CA 90602			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

101050, 843

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

10132004

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This communication is in response to the inquiry by applicant's representative, Mr. Kenneth Green as to the status of this application on 10/13/2004.

The drawings, including Figures 5, 5a and 5b, as originally filed are acceptable by the examiner. The Brief Description of the Drawings and the Specification make reference to all three of these drawing figures as properly labeled.

A copy of these drawings are attached to illustrate those that are acceptable by the examiner.

They are indicated in the IFW system as filed 2/22/2002, but are stamped 5/16/2002.

The replacement drawings submitted on 5/15/2002 omitted the sheet of drawings that included Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b. This set of drawings was submitted in response to the "Pre-Exam Informalities Notice" mailed 3/19/2002.

The drawing sheets submitted and stamped 5/16/2002 are acceptable by the examiner.

The confusion probably arose because of various sets of drawings in the paper file of this application.

Applicant submitted on 6/16/2004, in response to the "NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY WITH SPECIFICATION", mailed by the Office on 5/13/2004, a copy of the originally filed Figures 5a and 5b, but is incorrectly labeled as "Fig. 5" and "Fig. 5a". This drawing sheet is not accepted by the examiner because the labels for the drawings are incorrect.

This application does contain drawing figures, Fig. 5, Fig. 5a and Fig. 5b, indicated as acceptable by the examiner and copies are attached to avoid any confusion.

Attachment: two sheets of drawing figures, a sheet with "Fig. 5" and a sheet with "Fig. 5a" & "Fig. 5b".


Michael C. Wimer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2828